Case 1:11-cv-08908-CM Document 64 Filed 04/03/13 Page 1 of 4

Case 1:11-cv-06604-CM Document 53 Filed 03/27/13 Page 1 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
OVANCED VIDEO TECHNOLOGIES	
Plaintiff,	11 Civ. 6604 (CM)
TC CORPORATION and HTC MERICA, INC.	DOCUMENTS
Defendants.	DATE FILES: 4313
ADVANCED VIDEO TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	Entire the second section of the second section is a second section of the sectio
Plaintiff,	11 Civ. 8909 (CM)
vs.	
RESEARCH IN MOTION LTD ET AL.,	
Defendants.	) ) )
ADVANCED VIDEO TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	
Plaintiff,	12 Civ. 0918 (CM)
vs.	, )
MOTOROLA MOBILITY LLC,	MEMO ENDODOES
Defendant.	MEMO ENDORSED

Case 1:11-cv-06604-CM Document 53 Filed 03/27/13 Page 2 of 4

On February 1, 2013, the Court entered its Claim Construction Order (Dkt. 52) providing constructions of various terms proposed by the parties to these actions. In the Order, the Court stated that it "believe[d] the better part of valor is for defendants to move for summary judgment on a full record, with AVT having the opportunity to develop its counter-arguments – including, if necessary, offering testimony from experts" and ordered that the parties meet and confer to propose a schedule to the Court. Having met and conferred, the parties propose the following schedule for briefing a motion for summary judgment of invalidity for failure to provide written support as it relates to claims containing the phrase "interim storage of . . . outgoing video data":

- April 5, 2013 Defendants submit consolidated Motion for Summary Judgment.
- May 10, 2013 Plaintiff submits Response to Motion for Summary Judgment, including the expert report plaintiff has indicated that it would provide.
- No later than May 24, 2013 Defendants depose the expert whose declaration that plaintiff provides in response to defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.
- No later than 14 days after such deposition, defendants submit their consolidated Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment, including any expert or other declaration should defendants intend to rely on such testimony solely to rebut plaintiff's expert.
- No later than 14 days after defendants' Reply, plaintiff deposes any expert or other declarant submitted in defendants' Reply.
- No later than 14 days after such deposition of defendants' expert or declarant, should one be needed, plaintiff submits their Surreply. No Surreply is permitted if defendants do not proffer any such expert or declarant. Any such surreply is limited to disagreements between the experts or declarants.
- Defendants believe that they should be allowed to rely on an expert in their reply brief for the first time to proffer testimony by such expert to rebut the declaration of AVT's expert.
- AVT believes that should defendants choose to rely on an expert, such reliance should be
  included in the opening papers. AVT reserves the right to object to defendants' use of an expert
  for the first time to rebut AVT's expert declaration and to any testimony offered by such expert
  not solely to rebut AVT's expert.

Case 1:11-cv-06604-CM Document 53 Filed 03/27/13 Page 3 of 4

Respectfully submitted,

# LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP

By: /s/ Orville Cockings
Orville R. Cockings
600 South Avenue West
Westfield, NJ 07090-1497

Tel: 908.654.5000

E-mail:ocockings@ldlkm.com, litigation@ldlkm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Advanced Video Technologies LLC

### **COOLEY LLP**

By: /s/ Heidi L. Keefe (admitted pro hac vice)
Heidi L. Keefe

Jonathan Bach (JPB 9710) 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Phone: (212) 479-6000

Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*: Heidi L. Keefe (CA 178960) Mark R. Weinstein (CA 193043) Kyle D. Chen (CA 239501) Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 Phone: (650) 843-5000

Attorneys for Defendant HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc.

## KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

### /s/ Frederick Whitmer

Frederick L. Whitmer (FW-8888) Megan E. Bussey (MB-1220) The Grace Building 1114 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-7703

Tel: (212) 775-8700 Fax: (212) 775-8800

fwhitmer@kilparicktownsend.com mbussey@kilpatricktownsend.com Case 1:11-cv-06604-CM Document 53 Filed 03/27/13 Page 4 of 4

D. Clay Holloway (admitted pro hac vice)
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
Suite 2800
1100 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4528
Tel: (404) 815-6500
Fax: (404) 815-6555

cholloway@kilpatricktownsend.com

Steven D. Moore (admitted pro hac vice) Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP 1001 West Fourth Street Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27101 Tel: (336) 607-7300

Fax: (336) 607-7500

smoore@kilpatricktownsend.com

Attorneys for Defendant Motorola Mobility LLC

### VENABLE LLP

/s/ William D. Coston (admitted pro hac vice)
Jeffri A. Kaminski (admitted pro hac vice)
Martin L. Saad (admitted pro hac vice)
575 7th Street NW
Washington, DC 20004
Tel: (202) 344-4000

Tel: (202) 344-4000 Fax: (202) 344-8300 wdcoston@venable.com

Joeann E. Walker Rockefeller Center 1270 Avenue of the Americas, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10020

Tel: (212) 370-66246 Fax: (212) 307-5598 jewalker@venable.com

Attorneys for Defendant Research In Motion, Ltd.